

DIVERGENT TRAJECTORIES UNDER DIAGONAL GEODESIC FLOW AND SPLITTING OF DISCRETE SUBGROUPS OF $\mathrm{SO}(n, 1) \times \mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$

LEI YANG

ABSTRACT. Let $H = \mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$ and $A = \{a(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a maximal $\mathbb{R}$ -split Cartan subgroup of H . Let $\Gamma \subset H \times H$ be a nonuniform lattice in $H \times H$ and $X_\Gamma := H \times H / \Gamma$. Let $A_2 := \{a_2(t) := a(t) \times a(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset A \times A$ on X_Γ and $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \subset X_\Gamma$ denote the collection of points $x \in X_\Gamma$ such that $a_2(t)x$ diverges as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. In this note, we will show that if the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma$ is greater than $\dim(H \times H) - 2(n - 1)$, then Γ is essentially split, namely, it contains a subgroup of finite index of form $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$, where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are both lattices in H .

1. INTRODUCTION

Given $k \geq 2$, let $G_k := (\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))^k$ and $\Gamma_k := (\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}))^k$. Let $\mathcal{M}_k := G_k / \Gamma_k$. Let

$$B := \left\{ b(t) := \begin{bmatrix} e^t & \\ & e^{-t} \end{bmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}),$$

and $B_k := \{b_k(t) = (b(t), \dots, b(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset G_k$. Let us define

$$\mathcal{D}_k := \{x \in \mathcal{M}_k : b_k(t)x \text{ diverges as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}$$

and consider its Hausdorff dimension. It is proved by Cheung [Che07] that the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{D}_k$ is equal to $\dim \mathcal{M}_k - \frac{1}{2}$. This result is generalized by the author [Yan13]. In [Yan13], the following result is proved:

Theorem 1.1 (see [Yan13, Theorem 1.1]). *For any $n \geq 2$, let $H = \mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$ (note that $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(2, 1)$), $G(k) := H^k$, and $\Gamma(k) := \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_k$ where each Γ_i denotes a nonuniform lattice in H . Let $\mathcal{M}_k := G(k) / \Gamma(k)$. Let $A := \{a(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset H$ denote a maximal $\mathbb{R}$ -split one parameter Cartan subgroup of H and $A_k := \{a_k(t) := (a(t), \dots, a(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Define*

$$\mathcal{D}_k := \{x \in \mathcal{M}_k : a_k(t)x \text{ diverges as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}.$$

Then the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{D}_k$ is equal to $\dim \mathcal{M}_k - \frac{n-1}{2}$.

Note that $\mathrm{SO}(n, 1)$ is the group of isometries of the universal n -dimensional hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$. Under this identification, the flow generated by $A = \{a(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ corresponds to the geodesic flow on $T^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Therefore, we call the flow generated by A_k the *diagonal geodesic flow* on $\mathcal{M}_k$.

Given this result, it is natural to consider the following converse question:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A17; 22E40.

Key words and phrases. Hausdorff dimension, divergent trajectories, homogeneous spaces, Kazhdan-Margulis theorem.

The author is supported in part by a Postdoctoral Fellowship at The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

Problem 1.2. Let Γ be a nonuniform lattice in $H^k = (\mathrm{SO}(n, 1))^k$. we call Γ essentially split if it contains a subgroup of finite index of form $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_k$, where each Γ_i is a lattice in H . Let $X_\Gamma := H^k/\Gamma$. Let $A_k = \{a_k(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset H^k$ be as above. Define

$$\mathcal{D}_\Gamma := \{x \in X_\Gamma : a_k(t)x \text{ diverges as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}.$$

Suppose that the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma$ is equal to $\dim H^k - \frac{n-1}{2}$, can we conclude that Γ is essentially split? In other words, can we detect the splitting of Γ from the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma$?

In this note, we only consider the case $k = 2$. For this case, we can answer the above question affirmatively. In fact, we will prove the following stronger statement:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $H, \Gamma \subset H \times H$, X_Γ , and $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma$ be as above. Suppose that*

$$\dim_H \mathcal{D}_\Gamma > \dim(H \times H) - 2(n-1).$$

Then Γ contains a subgroup of finite index of form $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are both lattices in H .

Notation 1.4. *In this note, we fix a right invariant metric $\mathrm{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $H \times H$.*

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Professor Lafont for suggesting this problem to him and valuable conversations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some basic facts in the theory of Lie groups and their discrete subgroups. We realize H as the group of $n+1$ by $n+1$ matrices with determinant one and preserving the quadratic form Q in $n+1$ real variables defined as follows:

$$Q(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 2x_0x_n - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_{n-1}^2.$$

Let

$$A := \left\{ a(t) := \begin{bmatrix} e^t & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & e^{-t} \end{bmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

then A is a maximal $\mathbb{R}$ -split one parameter Cartan subgroup of H . Let $A^+ := \{a(t) : t > 0\}$. Let $K \cong \mathrm{SO}(n)$ be a maximal compact subgroup of H and let $Z_H(A)$ denote the centralizer of A in H . Let $M := K \cap Z_H(A)$. It is well known that $M \cong \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$ and $M \backslash H$ can be identified as the unit tangent bundle of n -dimensional universal real hyperbolic space $\mathrm{T}^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Under this identification, the geodesic flow $\{g_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is given by the flow generated by A , namely,

$$\begin{aligned} g_t : M \backslash H &\rightarrow M \backslash H \\ M \backslash H \ni Mx &\mapsto Ma(t)x. \end{aligned}$$

Due to this correspondence, we call the flow generated by $A_2 = \{a_2(t) = (a(t), a(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ the *diagonal geodesic flow* on X_Γ .

Define

$$N^- := \{h \in H : a^k h a^{-k} \rightarrow e \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for any } a \in A^+\},$$

and

$$N := \{h \in H : a^{-k}ha^k \rightarrow e \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for any } a \in A^+\}.$$

It is well known that $N^- \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Let $u^- : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow N^-$ denote the isomorphism.

Definition 2.1. For $\sigma \in H \times H$, we call $\sigma^{-1}(N^- \times N^-)\sigma$ a **cuspidal** of Γ if $\Gamma \cap \sigma^{-1}(N^- \times N^-)\sigma$ is a lattice in $\sigma^{-1}(N^- \times N^-)\sigma$.

Proposition 2.2. For any cusp $\sigma^{-1}(N^- \times N^-)\sigma$, and any $g \in N^-Z_H(A) \times N^-Z_H(A)$, $g\sigma\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}_\Gamma$. Moreover, if there exists $\gamma \in \sigma^{-1}(N^- \times N^-)\sigma$ such that $\gamma = (\gamma_1, e)$ (and $\gamma = (e, \gamma_2)$, respectively), then for any $g \in N^-Z_H(A) \times H$ (and $g \in H \times N^-Z_H(A)$, respectively), $g\sigma\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}_\Gamma$.

Proof. By a basic fact in the theory of homogeneous spaces (cf. [Rag72, Theorem 1.12]), for any sequence $\{x_n = g_n\Gamma \in X_\Gamma : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_n \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $g_n\gamma_n g_n^{-1} \rightarrow e$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Given a cusp $\sigma^{-1}(N^- \times N^-)\sigma$, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1} \in N^- \times N^-$. Then for any $g \in N^-Z_H(A) \times N^-Z_H(A)$,

$$a_2(t)g\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1}g^{-1}a_2(-t) = a_2(t)ga_2(-t)a_2(t)\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1}a_2(-t)a_2(t)g^{-1}a_2(-t).$$

Since $g \in N^-Z_H(A) \times N^-Z_H(A)$, there exists $z_\infty \in Z_H(A) \times Z_H(A)$ such that $a_2(t)ga_2(-t) \rightarrow z_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1} \in N^- \times N^-$, we have that $a_2(t)\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1}a_2(-t) \rightarrow e$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore $a_2(t)g\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1}g^{-1}a_2(-t) \rightarrow z_\infty e z_\infty^{-1} = e$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. By our previous discussion, this implies that $a_2(t)g\sigma\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

This proves the first part of the proposition.

Suppose there exists $\gamma \in \sigma^{-1}(N^- \times N^-)\sigma$ such that $\gamma = (\gamma_1, e)$. Put $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, then we have that $\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1} = (\sigma_1\gamma_1\sigma_1^{-1}, e)$. For any $g = (g_1, g_2) \in N^-Z_H(A) \times H$, $g\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1}g^{-1} = (g_1\sigma_1\gamma_1\sigma_1^{-1}g_1^{-1}, e)$. Therefore

$$a_2(t)g\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1}g^{-1}a_2(-t) = (a(t)g_1\sigma_1\gamma_1\sigma_1^{-1}g_1^{-1}a(-t), e).$$

Repeating the previous argument we have that $a(t)g_1\sigma_1\gamma_1\sigma_1^{-1}g_1^{-1}a(-t) \rightarrow e$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. This shows that

$$a_2(t)g\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1}g^{-1}a_2(-t) \rightarrow e \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore, $a_2(t)g\sigma\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

This completes the proof. $\square$

In the three cases given above, we can choose a single $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ such that

$$a_2(t)g\sigma\gamma\sigma^{-1}g^{-1}a_2(-t) \rightarrow e \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

For this reason, we call such a divergent trajectory $\{a_2(t)g\sigma\Gamma : t > 0\}$ a *trivial trajectory*. Let $\mathcal{T}_\Gamma$ denote the set of trivial trajectories. By the above proposition, if there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap (\sigma^{-1}(N^- \times \{e\})\sigma \cup \sigma^{-1}(\{e\} \times N^-)\sigma)$ for some cusp $\sigma^{-1}(N^- \times N^-)\sigma$, then

$$\dim_H \mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \dim(H \times H) - (n-1).$$

If there does not exist such a cusp, then

$$\dim_H \mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \dim(H \times H) - 2(n-1).$$

Let us recall some basic results in the theory of discrete subgroups of Lie groups. We will need them in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 2.3 (Selberg's Lemma, see [Rag72, Lemma 1.14]). *Let G be a second countable locally compact group and $\Gamma \subset G$ a lattice. Let $\Delta \subset \Gamma$ be a finite subset and let $Z_G(\Delta)$ denote the centralizer of Δ in G . Then $Z_G(\Delta)\Gamma$ is closed.*

Proposition 2.4 (see [Rag72, Corollary 5.19]). *Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors. Let H_1, H_2 be connected closed proper normal subgroups of G such that $G = H_1H_2$ and $H_1 \cap H_2$ is discrete in G . Let π_1 and π_2 be the natural projections of G on G/H_2 and G/H_1 , respectively. Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a lattice. Then the following conditions on Γ are equivalent:*

- (1) $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ is a discrete subgroup of G/H_2 .
- (2) $\pi_2(\Gamma)$ is a discrete subgroup of G/H_1 .
- (3) $\Gamma \cap H_1$ is a lattice in H_1 .
- (4) $\Gamma \cap H_2$ is a lattice in H_2 .
- (5) Γ contains $(\Gamma \cap H_1)(\Gamma \cap H_2)$ as a subgroup of finite index.

If in addition G is linear then the above conditions are equivalent to

- (6) $\Gamma \cap H_1$ is Zariski dense in H_1 .
- (7) $\Gamma \cap H_2$ is Zariski dense in H_2 .

Proposition 2.5 (see [Rag72, Corollary 8.28]). *Let G be a connected Lie group and $\Gamma \subset G$ a lattice. Let R be the radical of G . Let S be a semisimple subgroup of G such that $G = R \rtimes S$. Let σ denote the action of S on R . Assume that the kernel of σ has no compact factors in its identity component. Then $R/R \cap \Gamma$ is compact.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

The following theorem due to Kazhdan and Margulis [KM68] is crucial in our proof:

Theorem 3.1 (see [KM68] and [Rag72, Corollary 11.18]). *Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors and $\Gamma \subset G$ be a lattice. Then there is a neighborhood V of e in G such that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has a conjugate in V if and only if γ is unipotent.*

Their result is based on the following theorem due to Zassenhaus:

Theorem 3.2 (see [Zas37]). *Let G be a Lie group. Then there is a neighborhood U of e in G such that for any discrete subgroup Γ of G , $\Gamma \cap U$ is contained in a connected nilpotent Lie subgroup of G .*

Remark 3.3. The neighborhood U is called the Zassenhaus neighborhood of G .

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $U \subset H \times H$ denote the Zassenhaus neighborhood of $H \times H$. Suppose that $\dim_H \mathcal{D}_\Gamma > \dim(H \times H) - 2(n - 1)$. We claim that there exists some $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap ((H \times \{e\}) \cup (\{e\} \times H))$. We will prove the claim for the following two cases:

Case.1 $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma = \mathcal{T}_\Gamma$, namely, every divergent trajectory is a *trivial trajectory*. For this case, the claim easily follows from the discussion after the proof of Proposition 2.2 since otherwise we will have

$$\dim_H \mathcal{D}_\Gamma = \dim_H \mathcal{T}_\Gamma = \dim(H \times H) - 2(n - 1),$$

which contradicts our hypothesis.

Case.2 $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \neq \mathcal{T}_\Gamma$. Let us choose $x = g\Gamma \in \mathcal{D}_\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{T}_\Gamma$. By the definition of $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma$, there exists $T_0 > 0$, such that for $t \geq T_0$, $a_2(t)g\Gamma g^{-1}a_2(-t) \cap U \neq \{e\}$. Since $\{a_2(t)x : t > 0\}$ is not a trivial divergent trajectory, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $a_2(t)g\gamma g^{-1}a_2(-t) \notin U$ for $t > 0$ large enough. Therefore there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_i \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and a sequence $\{T_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that for $t \in [T_i, T_{i+1})$, $a_2(t)g\gamma_i g^{-1}a_2(-t) \in U$ satisfies that

$$\text{dist}(a_2(t)g\gamma_i g^{-1}a_2(-t), e) = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}} \{\text{dist}(a_2(t)g\gamma g^{-1}a_2(-t), e)\}.$$

By Theorem 3.1, each $g\gamma_i g^{-1}$ is unipotent. It is a basic fact on the structure of $H \times H$ that every unipotent element in $H \times H$ is contained in a conjugate of $N^- \times N^-$. Thus, each $g\gamma_i g^{-1}$ is contained in some conjugate of $N^- \times N^-$, say $\xi_i(N^- \times N^-)\xi_i^{-1}$. It is easy to see that different i 's correspond to different conjugates. We claim that $g\gamma_i g^{-1}$ commutes with $g\gamma_{i+1} g^{-1}$. In fact, if they don't commute, then direct calculation (cf. [Rag72, Proposition 8.8]) shows that

$$\text{dist}(a_2(T_{i+1})g\gamma_i \gamma_{i+1} \gamma_i^{-1} \gamma_{i+1}^{-1} g^{-1} a_2(-T_{i+1}), e) < \text{dist}(a_2(T_{i+1})g\gamma_{i+1} g^{-1} a_2(-T_{i+1}), e).$$

This contradicts our hypothesis that

$$\text{dist}(a_2(T_{i+1})g\gamma_{i+1} g^{-1} a_2(-T_{i+1}), e) = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}} \{\text{dist}(a_2(T_{i+1})g\gamma g^{-1} a_2(-T_{i+1}), e)\}.$$

This shows the commutative property.

Put $g\gamma_i g^{-1} = \xi_i(u^-(\mathbf{x}_1), u^-(\mathbf{x}_2))\xi_i^{-1}$.

If $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, then the claim is already true. Suppose both $\mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2$ are not $\mathbf{0}$, then the centralizer of $g\gamma_i g^{-1}$, $Z_G(g\gamma_i g^{-1}) = \xi_i(N^- \times N^-)\xi_i^{-1}$. Therefore $g\gamma_{i+1} g^{-1} \in \xi_i(N^- \times N^-)\xi_i^{-1}$. On the other hand, $g\gamma_{i+1} g^{-1}$ is inside $\xi_{i+1}(N^- \times N^-)\xi_{i+1}^{-1}$ which is different from $\xi_i(N^- \times N^-)\xi_i^{-1}$. Therefore if we put $g\gamma_{i+1} g^{-1} = \xi_i(u^-(\mathbf{y}_1), u^-(\mathbf{y}_2))\xi_i^{-1}$, then $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, otherwise $g\gamma_{i+1} g^{-1}$ can not be contained in two different conjugates of $N^- \times N^-$. This proves the claim for this case.

Without loss of generality, let us assume that there exists $\gamma = (e, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma$ where γ_2 is unipotent. Assume that $\gamma = \xi^{-1}(e, u^-(\mathbf{x}))\xi$ for some $\xi \in H \times H$. Then the centralizer $Z_{G_2}(\gamma) = \xi^{-1}(H \times N^-)\xi$. By Proposition 2.3, $(H \times N^-)\xi\Gamma$ is closed. Since $H \times N^-$ is generated by unipotent one parameter subgroups contained in it, by Ratner's theorem (cf. [Rat91]), the closed orbit $(H \times N^-)\xi\Gamma$ admits a finite $H \times N^-$ -invariant measure, and $\Gamma \cap \xi^{-1}(H \times N^-)\xi$ is a lattice in $\xi^{-1}(H \times N^-)\xi$.

It is easy to see that the radical of $\xi^{-1}(H \times N^-)\xi$ is $\xi^{-1}(\{e\} \times N^-)\xi$ and $\xi^{-1}(H \times N^-)\xi = \xi^{-1}(\{e\} \times N^-)\xi \rtimes (H \times \{e\})$. By Proposition 2.5 with G replaced by $\xi^{-1}(H \times N^-)\xi$, R replaced by $\xi^{-1}(\{e\} \times N^-)\xi$ and S replaced by $H \times \{e\}$, $\Gamma \cap \xi^{-1}(\{e\} \times N^-)\xi$ is a lattice in $\xi^{-1}(\{e\} \times N^-)\xi$. Applying Proposition 2.4 with G replaced by $\xi^{-1}(H \times N^-)\xi$, Γ replaced by $\Gamma \cap \xi^{-1}(H \times N^-)\xi$, H_1 replaced by $\xi^{-1}(\{e\} \times N^-)\xi$, and H_2 replaced by $H \times \{e\}$, we have that $\Gamma \cap (H \times \{e\})$ is also a lattice in $H \times \{e\}$. Finally, applying Proposition 2.4 with G replaced by $H \times H$, H_1 replaced by $H \times \{e\}$ and H_2 replaced by $\{e\} \times H$, we have that $\Gamma \cap (\{e\} \times H)$ is a lattice in $\{e\} \times H$, and Γ contains $(\Gamma \cap (H \times \{e\})) \cdot (\Gamma \cap (\{e\} \times H))$ as a subgroup of finite index, in other words, $\Gamma < H \times H$ is essentially split.

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.4.

- (1) The argument above also proves that if there exists a nontrivial divergent trajectory, then Γ is essentially split.
- (2) The argument here only works for $k = 2$. For $k \geq 3$, we can consider the same problem. It would be very interesting if one could get a similar result for general k .

REFERENCES

- [Che07] Yitwah Cheung. Hausdorff dimension of the set of points on divergent trajectories of a homogeneous flow on a product space. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 27(01):65–85, 2007.
- [KM68] D Kazhdan and G Margulis. A proof of selberg’s hypothesis. *Math. Sbornik*, 75(117):162–168, 1968.
- [Rag72] Madabusi Santanam Raghunathan. *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, volume 68. Springer Verlag, 1972.
- [Rat91] Marina Ratner. Raghunathan’s topological conjecture and distributions of unipotent flows. *Duke Math. J*, 63(1):235–280, 1991.
- [Yan13] Lei Yang. Hausdorff dimension of divergent diagonal geodesics on product of finite volume hyperbolic spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.5993*, 2013.
- [Zas37] Hans Zassenhaus. Beweis eines satzes über diskrete gruppen. In *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, volume 12, pages 289–312. Springer, 1937.

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM,
9190401, ISRAEL

E-mail address: yang.lei@mail.huji.ac.il